Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) KINOSHITA ET AL.				
10/573,462					
Examiner	Art Unit				
Sean P. Cullen, Ph.D.	1725				

	Sean P. Cullen, Ph.D.	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 A he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follou places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian- time periods: 	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Wisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN 6.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension in have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2 set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely fill may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
 3. \(\text{\$\texitt{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\te					
					(d) They present additional claims without canceling a
NOTE: <u>See attached Detailed Action</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-15 and 17-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	is to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See attached Detailed Action. 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
 Other: PTO-413B Examiner Initiated Interview Summary 	<u>(20101213)</u> .				
	/Robert Hodge/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1729			